## 9.14 VILLAGE OF LANSING

This section presents the jurisdictional annex for the Village of Lansing. It includes resources and information to assist public and private sectors to reduce losses from future hazard events. This annex is not guidance of what to do when a disaster occurs. Rather, this annex concentrates on actions that can be implemented prior to a disaster to reduce or eliminate damage to property and people. This annex includes a general overview of the Village of Lansing and who in the Village participated in the planning process; an assessment of the Village of Lansing's risk and vulnerability; the different capabilities utilized in the Village; and an action plan that will be implemented to achieve a more resilient community.

## 9.14.1 Hazard Mitigation Planning Team

The following individuals have been identified as the Village of Lansing's hazard mitigation plan primary and alternate points of contact.

Table 9.14-1. Hazard Mitigation Planning Team

Primary Point of Contact	Alternate Point of Contact
Name/Title: Michael Scott / CEO	Name/Title: Don Hartill, Mayor
Address: 2405 North Triphammer Rd	Address: 2405 North Triphammer Rd
Phone Number: 607-257-0424, Ext. 3	Phone Number: 607-272-7671
Email: codeofficer2@vlansing.org	Email: DLH13@Cornell.edu
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	
Name/Title: Michael Scott / CEO	
Address: 2405 North Triphammer Rd	
Phone Number: 607-257-0424	
Email: codeofficer2@vlansing.org	

# 9.14.2 Municipal Profile

The Village of Lansing is located within the southern part of the greater Town of Lansing, encompassing about three square miles. The Village of Lansing is mostly residential, being home to The Shops at Ithaca, Tompkins County's largest mall. The entire village lies within the Cayuga Lake Watershed and is traversed by more than a dozen minor streams. Cayuga Lake dominates the natural environment of the Village and enhances economic and recreational opportunities within the Village. Seven Unique Natural Areas (UNAs) are located within the Village. The Village of Lansing is also home to the Ithaca Tompkins International Airport and the Convenient Care Center, a branch of the Cayuga Lake Medical Center.

In 1971, a group of residents who desired zoning protections from unregulated commercial and high-density residential development brought about the creation of a separate village within Lansing. In 1974, the Village



of Lansing was incorporated. Much of this development is centered around New York State Route 13, which runs to the south of the Village, and Triphammer Road, which intersects through the center of the Village.

The Village of Lansing is governed by a five-person Board of Trustees that includes a Mayor and Deputy Mayor.

According to the 2014-2018 American Community Survey, the population of the Village of Lansing is 3,417.

## 9.14.3 Growth/Development Trends

Understanding how past, current, and projected development patterns have or are likely to increase or decrease risk in hazard areas is a key component to understanding a jurisdiction's overall risk to its hazards of concern. Table 9.14-2 summarizes recent and expected future development trends, including major residential/commercial development and major infrastructure development. Figure 9.14-1 at the end of this annex illustrates the geographically delineated hazard areas and the location of potential new development, where available.

Table 9.14-2. Recent and Expected Future Development

Type of Development	20	014	20	015	2(	016	20	017	20	18
Number of Building Pern regulatory floodplain)										
	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA
Single Family	3	0	2	0	4	0	3	0	6	0
Multi-Family	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	0
Other (commercial, mixed-use, etc.)	3	0	1	0	-	-	1	0	1	0
Total	6	0	3	0	4	0	4	0	20	0
Property or Development Name		/pe of opment		Units / ctures	(addres	ation ss and/or and lot)		Hazard ne(s)*	Stat	ption / us of pment
	Recent	Major Dev	velopme	nt and Inf	frastructu	re from 20	14 to P	resent		
Nissan Dealership	Comme	ercial	1		Uptown	Rd		-	Constructi going	on on
Lansing Meadows	Resider	ntial	12units structu	•	Oakcres	t Dr		-	Constructi going	on on
East Pointe Apartments	Resider	ntial	140 un	-	Bomax I	Or		-	Completed	t
Lansing Trails II	Resider	ntial	N/A		Norway	Dr		-	Constructi ongoing	on
Cayuga View	Resider	ntial	60 unit structu		Cinema	Dr		-	Completed	t
Dart Drive Stormwater System	Infrastr	ucture	N/A		Dart Dr			-	Completed	b
Known o	or Antici <sub>l</sub>	oated Maj	or Deve	lopment a	nd Infras	tructure in	the Ne	xt Five (5)	Years	



Type of Development	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Warren Road/Dart Drive Water Line	Infrastructure	N/A	Warren Rd/Dart Dr	-	Future
Dart Drive Street, Sidewalk, and Lighting	Infrastructure	N/A	Dart Dr	-	Future
Beer Multi-Residential Development	Residential	84 units/42 structures	Craft Rd/Bush Lane	-	Future
Solar Home Development	Residential	43 units	Dart Dr/Northwood Rd.	-	Future
Sewer Line Extension	Infrastructure	N/A	Between Village and Town of Lansing	-	Future

SFHA Special Flood Hazard Area (1% flood event)

# 9.14.4 Capability Assessment

The Village of Lansing performed an inventory and analysis of existing capabilities, plans, programs, and policies that enhance its ability to implement mitigation strategies. Section 5 (Capability Assessment) describes the components included in the capability assessment and their significance for hazard mitigation planning. This section summarizes the following findings of the assessment:

- An assessment of planning, legal and regulatory capabilities.
- Development and permitting capabilities.
- An assessment of administrative and technical capabilities.
- An assessment of fiscal capabilities.
- An assessment of education and outreach capabilities.
- Classification under various community mitigation programs.
- The community's adaptive capacity for the impacts of climate change.
- Information on National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) compliance.

For a community to succeed in reducing long-term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into the day-to-day local government operations. As part of this planning effort, planning/policy documents were reviewed, and each jurisdiction was surveyed to obtain a better understanding of their progress in plan integration. Areas with current mitigation integration are summarized in Capability Assessment (Section 9.14.4). The Village of Lansing identified specific integration activities that will be incorporated into municipal procedures are included in the updated mitigation strategy. **This is shown in bold text in the comments box where appropriate**. Appendix I provides the results of the planning/policy document review.



<sup>\*</sup> Only location-specific hazard zones or vulnerabilities identified.

#### Planning, Legal, and Regulatory Capability 9.14.4.1

The table below summarizes the regulatory tools that are available to the Village of Lansing and where hazard mitigation has been integrated.

Table 9.14-3. Planning Legal and Regulatory Canability

	Does your municipality have this? (Yes/No)	Code Citation and Date (code chapter, name , date , link)	Authority (local, county , state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated
Codes, Ordinances, &	Requirements				
Building Code	Yes	The Uniform Code (19 NYCRR Parts 1219 to 1229), Code of the Village of Lansing, Chapter 62: Electrical Standards, Chapter 75: Fire Prevention and Building Construction	Local and State	Village Code Enforcement Office	Yes
•	3,	0; Regulated at local and st	•	•	
entitled the 2017 Unifor State Fire Prevention an	m Code Supplement (pu d Building Code Counci	blished by the Internationa ublication date: July 2017). l, directs the Code Council i eption of the City of New Yo	. Article 18 of the Executi to promulgate and main	ive Law (§§ 370 through tain the Uniform Code, o	383) establishes the and charges each

within its municipal houndaries

within its municipal bound	arıes.				
Zoning Code	Yes	Subdivision 3 of §20 of the Municipal Home Rule Law, Code of the Village of Lansing	Local	Village Board of Trustees, Village Planning Board, Village Board of Zoning Appeals	No

Comment: Article IX, Section 2, of the State Constitution and by the various state enabling statutes. In New York, the zoning enabling acts continue to require that zoning be undertaken "in accord with a well-considered plan" 11 or "in accordance with a comprehensive plan." 12 Unless the town, city or village has adopted a comprehensive plan document using the more recently-enacted statutes (described later herein), local officials must refer to the extensive body of case law to determine how zoning can meet the more general "comprehensive plan" requirement.\*\*May be impacted by State wetland regulations which protect wetlands greater than 12.4 acres and established buffer zones. Regulated at local level.

\*During the next update of the municipal zoning code, the Village will review the HMP and determine how they can incorporate the HMP into the zoning code. By doing so, it will help promote development and redevelopment patterns that are at less risk from known hazards.

Subdivision Regulations Yo	⁄es	Code of the Village of Lansing, Chapter 125 Subdivision of Land	Local	Village Board of Trustees	No
----------------------------	-----	---	-------	------------------------------	----

**Comment:** Subdivision is defined in the State enabling Statutes as: the division of any parcel of land into a number of lots, blocks, or sites as specified i a local ordinance, law or regulation, with or without streets or highways, for the purpose of sale, transfer of ownership, or development. There is not a requirement by NYS for subdivisions. Each municipality is permitted to further define subdivision for its own purposes in connection with its subdivision review procedure. The enabling statutes provide that a plat showing a division of land which is



	Does your municipality have this? (Yes/No)	Code Citation and Date (code chapter, name , date , link)	Authority (local, county , state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated
subject to a municipality's & 33, Town Law s. 276 & 2 *When the Village updates t By doing so, it helps the Vill	777, Village Law s. 7-7 he subdivision regulat	728 & 7-730). ions, they will review the HM	MP and consider different	ways to integrate the HM	-
Stormwater Management Regulations	Yes	Title 6, Ch. X,17-7,8,70 Code of the Village of Lansing, Chapter 124 Stormwater Management, Erosion and Sediment Control Law	State and Local	Village Board of Trustees	Yes
Comment: Codes Rules ar Water Resources, Subchapt Elimination System(SPDES) redevelopment projects tha common plan of developm Post-Disaster Recovery	ter A. General Article Permits. New York I It result in a land dist	3. State Pollutant Discharg Environmental Conservatio urbance of one acre or gre	ge Elimination System, Po n Law, Article 17, Titles 7 ater, including projects le	art 750. State Pollutant 7, 8 and Article 70. New ess than one acre if they	Discharge development and are part of a larger
Plan or Regulation  Comment:					
Real Estate Disclosure	Yes	Property Condition Disclosure Act, NY Code - Article 14 §460-467	State	NYS Department of State, Real Estate Agent	Yes
Comment: In addition to f certain disclosures under th disclosure statement and d opt not to complete the sta *The Village will review the include developing disclosur	ne law or pay a credit deliver it to the buyer of tement and instead p of <b>HMP and identify an</b>	of \$500 to the buyer at closefore the buyer signs the pay the credit.  yeas of integration that they a natural hazard related inf	osing. While the PCDA re final purchase contract, in can incorporate into the	quires a seller to comple in practice, most home so ir real estate disclosure p natural hazards that can	ete a standardized ellers in New York procedures. This can
Growth Management Regulation	Yes	Code of the Village of Lansing, Chapter 125 Subdivision of Land	Local	Village Board of Trustees, Village Planning Board	No
<b>Comment:</b> In New York St (i.e., in a city, village or tow planning functions at the c land use regulation.	ın government). Land	d use planning is also prim	arily a municipal function	n. While State law provi	des for certain
Site Plan Review	Yes	General City Law s. 27-a, Town Law s. 247a, Village Law s. 7- 725a Code of the Village of Lansing, Chapter 125 Subdivision of Land	Local	Village Planning Board	No



	Does your municipality have this? (Yes/No)	Code Citation and Date (code chapter, name , date , link)	Authority (local, county , state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated
Village Law s. 7-725a)The	local legislative body tes the site plan re	view is derived from the Sta has the power to delegate view requirements, they	site plan review to the	planning board, zoning b	oard, etc.
Environmental Protection	Yes	Title 6 NYCRR Part 617	NYS DEC and State	Village Planning Board	Yes
Comment: New State Env	rironmental Quality R	eview Act (SEQR) Title 6 N	YCRR Part 617 Regulati	ons are in effect as of Jan	uary 1st, 2019
Flood Damage Prevention Law	Yes	Code of the Village of Lansing, Chapter 78 Flood Damage Prevention Law	Local	?Board of Trustees, Village Code Enforcement Officer	Yes - BFE+2 feet for all construction in the SFHA (residential and non-residential)
<del>-</del>	the minimum requ	amage Prevention Ordinar virements set by NYS. In	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Regulation	Yes	EPA Phase II Stormwater Rule Code of the Village of Lansing, Chapter 124 Stormwater Management, Erosion and Sediment Control Law, Chapter 80 Illicit Discharges, Activities and Connections to Separate Storm Sewer System Law	Federal, NYS, and Local	NYS DEC, Board of Trustees, Code Enforcement Officer	Yes
pollutants carried by storn	nwater during storm e	governments) to develop a events to waterbodies to the vaterways. A Municipal Se	e "maximum extent pra	cticable". The goal of the	program is to
Emergency Management	Yes	NYS Executive Law, Article 2B.	Local	County Dept. of Emergency Response, Local OEM	Yes
•	ent of the New York	State Comprehensive Eme	ergency Management P		nder NYS Executive
Law, Article 2B.  Climate Adaptation	Yes	NYS Executive Law, Article 75, Village of Lansing Climate Smart Communities Task Force	Local	Board of Trustees, CSC Task Force	Yes



	Does your municipality have this? (Yes/No)	Code Citation and Date (code chapter, name , date , link)	Authority (local, county , state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated
Disaster Recovery Ordinance	No	-	Local	-	No
Comment: *					
Disaster Reconstruction Ordinance	No	-	Local	-	No
Comment: *					
Planning Documents					
Comprehensive Plan	Yes	General City Law section 28a(3)(a); Town Law section 272-a(2)(a); Village Law section 7- 722(2)(a) Village of Lansing Comprehensive Plan 2015-2025	Local	Board of Trustees and Planning Board	No
Comment: Optional under based on case law. (Per Stawetland regulations which HMP into the comprehe management and planning Capital Improvement	nte Legislature Genera protect wetlands grea ensive plan. This w	l City Law section 28a, Townster than 12.4 acres and eswill help promote consisy.  General Municipal	vn Law s. 272a, Village L tablished buffer zones. R	aw s. 7-722) **May be in egulated at the local lev	mpacted by State el.
Plan		Law Section 99-g.			1
Comment: A local governi	ment can decide to ad	lopt its capital plan pursua	nt to General Municipal	Law Section 99-g.	
Disaster Debris Management Plan	No	-	Local	-	No
Comment: Based on past of Management Plan in place address recovery and clean Emergency Management P prepare emergency debris to *	are able to manage to up faster and more e Plan Tool Kit. The NY	their emergency response i officiently than those witho SDEC (Department) strong	in a more comprehensive ut plans. With that in mi Ily urges all municipal off	and coordinated mann nd, the Department dev ficials to conduct pre-dis	er and are able to eloped an aster planning and
Floodplain or Watershed Plan	No	-	Local	-	No
<b>Comment:</b> The State Polluprotection and restoration	_	ation System (SPDES) peri	mit program is a primary	way the DOW impleme	nts its watershed
Stormwater Plan	Yes or No	-	Local	-	No
<b>Comment:</b> Local Authority when addressing stormwat *				= :	ıst be followed
Open Space Plan	Yes	NYS Constitution - Article 9; Statute of Local Governments. Section 10 (7), Village of Lansing Open Space Protection Plan, Village Greenway Plan	Local	Board of Trustees, Village Greenway Committee	Yes



	Does your municipality have this? (Yes/No)	Code Citation and Date (code chapter, name , date , link)	Authority (local, county , state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated
Comment: Planning board	rds nrenare or oversee	the preparation of local co	mnrehensive plans whic	h should include an one	n snace element
The primary purpose of a le					
Urban Water Management Plan	Yes	Southern Cayuga Lake Intermunicipal Water Commission – Village of Lansing - Agreement of Municipal Cooperation	Local	Board of Trustees, Southern Cayuga Lake Intermunicipal Water Commission	No
Comment:					
Habitat Conservation Plan	No	-	Local	-	No
<b>Comment:</b> Laws related to clearing of vegetated areas certain State and Federal F Economic Development	s. Identifying certain c	critical habitat areas could	be included in the Comp	orehensive Plan. Critical	Habitat is a part of
Plan					
<b>Comment:</b> An Economic E plan.**May be impacted by	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	No	Article 34, Environmental Conservation Law, Coastal Erosion Hazard Areas 6 NYCRR Part 505,	Local	-	Yes
<del>-</del>		Coastal Erosion  Management  Regulations			
Shoreline Management Plan  Comment: Article 34, Envi 6 NYCRR Part 505, Coastal		Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion H	lazard Areas		
Plan		Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion H	lazard Areas	-	No
Comment: Article 34, Envi 6 NYCRR Part 505, Coastal Community Wildfire	l Erosion Management No eral Farm Bill, every 10 state Forester, who in I	Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion Fit Regulations - Dyears each state must sulvew York is the director of	Local bring a State Forest Action		 Service. The Plan
Comment: Article 34, Envi 6 NYCRR Part 505, Coasta Community Wildfire Protection Plan Comment: Under the fede must be approved by the S must be submitted to the F	l Erosion Management No eral Farm Bill, every 10 state Forester, who in I	Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion Fit Regulations - Dyears each state must sulvew York is the director of	Local bring a State Forest Action		 Service. The Plan
Comment: Article 34, Envio 6 NYCRR Part 505, Coastal Community Wildfire Protection Plan Comment: Under the fede must be approved by the S must be submitted to the F	No  Reral Farm Bill, every 10  State Forester, who in I	Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion Fit Regulations - Dyears each state must sulvew York is the director of	Local  bmit a State Forest Action DEC's Division of Lands	and Forests. The next up	Service. The Plan date of the Plan
Comment: Article 34, Envi 6 NYCRR Part 505, Coastan Community Wildfire Protection Plan Comment: Under the fede must be approved by the S must be submitted to the F Forest Management Plan Comment:	No  Reral Farm Bill, every 10  State Forester, who in I	Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion Fit Regulations - Dyears each state must sulvew York is the director of	Local  bmit a State Forest Action DEC's Division of Lands	and Forests. The next up	Service. The Plan date of the Plan
Comment: Article 34, Envide 6 NYCRR Part 505, Coastal Community Wildfire Protection Plan Comment: Under the feder must be approved by the Smust be submitted to the Forest Management Plan Comment: Transportation Plan	No  Reral Farm Bill, every 10 State Forester, who in I Forest Service by June I No	Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion Fit Regulations - Dyears each state must sulvew York is the director of	Local  DEC's Division of Lands  Local	and Forests. The next up	Service. The Plan date of the Plan No
Comment: Article 34, Envi 6 NYCRR Part 505, Coastal Community Wildfire Protection Plan Comment: Under the fede must be approved by the S	No  Reral Farm Bill, every 10 State Forester, who in I Forest Service by June I No	Coastal Erosion Management Regulations ion Law, Coastal Erosion Fit Regulations - Dyears each state must sulvew York is the director of	Local  DEC's Division of Lands  Local	and Forests. The next up	Service. The Plan date of the Plan No



	Does your municipality have this? (Yes/No)	Code Citation and Date (code chapter, name , date , link)	Authority (local, county , state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated
Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan	No	NYS Executive Law, Article 2B	Local	Local OEM	Yes
Article 2B. The plan is deve Disaster Preparedness Con can be integrated. This o the HMP, as necessary.	nmission (DPC). <b>*Whe</b>	en the Village updates th	eir CEMP, they will rev	riew the HMP and ide	ntify any areas tha
Throat Oillamord			Local	Local OEM	Yes
Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)	No	all states must complete to			curity grant funding
Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA) <b>Comment:</b> HIRA is an ann It also involves a hazard an CEPA to serve as the State' completion of the THIRA.	nual requirement that and capability assessme	ent but DHSES has several	o remain eligible to recei methodological concern	ve federal homeland se s with the THIRA proces	ss and has developed
dentification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)  Comment: HIRA is an ann It also involves a hazard an CEPA to serve as the State' completion of the THIRA.  Post-Disaster Recovery	nual requirement that and capability assessme	ent but DHSES has several	o remain eligible to recei methodological concern	ve federal homeland se s with the THIRA proces	ss and has developed
Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)  Comment: HIRA is an ann It also involves a hazard an CEPA to serve as the State' completion of the THIRA.  Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	nual requirement that and capability assessme is system to capture an	ent but DHSES has several	o remain eligible to recei methodological concern ity information. Howevei	ve federal homeland se s with the THIRA proces r, CEPA has been engin	ss and has developed eered to support the
Threat & Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)  Comment: HIRA is an ann It also involves a hazard an CEPA to serve as the State' completion of the THIRA.  Post-Disaster Recovery Plan  Comment: *  Continuity of Operations Plan	nual requirement that and capability assessme is system to capture an	ent but DHSES has several	o remain eligible to recei methodological concern ity information. Howevei	ve federal homeland se s with the THIRA proces r, CEPA has been engin	ss and has developed eered to support the
Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)  Comment: HIRA is an ann It also involves a hazard an CEPA to serve as the State' completion of the THIRA. Post-Disaster Recovery Plan  Comment: *  Continuity of Operations	No  No  No  No  RefEMA, "State and lotal government function of the possible threats from	ent but DHSES has several and analyze hazard/capabil	coremain eligible to recein methodological concernsity information. However Local  Local  Local  Local  consider developing or up prepared to continue the acts of terrorism. COOF	ve federal homeland se s with the THIRA proces r, CEPA has been engin  -  dating contingency pla ir minimum essential fu	No  No  No  ns for the continuity unctions
Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA) Comment: HIRA is an ann It also involves a hazard an CEPA to serve as the State's completion of the THIRA. Post-Disaster Recovery Plan Comment: * Continuity of Operations Plan Comment: According to the of operations (COOP) of vithroughout the spectrum of	No  No  No  No  RefEMA, "State and lotal government function of the possible threats from	ent but DHSES has several and analyze hazard/capabil	coremain eligible to recein methodological concernsity information. However Local  Local  Local  Local  consider developing or up prepared to continue the acts of terrorism. COOF	ve federal homeland se s with the THIRA proces r, CEPA has been engin  -  dating contingency pla ir minimum essential fu	No  No  No  ns for the continuity unctions
Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)  Comment: HIRA is an ann It also involves a hazard an CEPA to serve as the State' completion of the THIRA.  Post-Disaster Recovery Plan  Comment: *  Continuity of Operations Plan  Comment: According to the of operations (COOP) of vi throughout the spectrum of State and local governments *	No  No  No  No  Per FEMA, "State and lotal government function of possible threats from that and services during	ent but DHSES has several and analyze hazard/capabil  -  ocal governments should coons. Jurisdictions must be properly natural disasters through an emergency that may di	Local  Local  Local  consider developing or up orepared to continue the acts of terrorism. COOF srupt normal operations.	ve federal homeland se s with the THIRA proces r, CEPA has been engin - - dating contingency pla ir minimum essential fu p planning facilitates the	No  No  No  ns for the continuity unctions e performance of



Comment:

Table 9.14-4. Development and Permitting Capability

Indicate if your jurisdiction implements the following	Response Yes/No; Provide further detail
Development Permits. If yes, what department?	Yes; approved by Village Planning Board and issued by Code Enforcement Officer
Permits are tracked by hazard area. For example, floodplain development permits.	Yes, Village Code Enforcement Officer
Buildable land inventory If yes, please describe If no, please quantitatively describe the level of buildout in the jurisdiction.	Yes, Village Code Enforcement Officer

### 9.14.4.2 Administrative and Technical Capability

The table below summarizes potential staff and personnel resources available to the Village of Lansing.

Table 9.14-5. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

Resources	Available? (Yes or No)	Department/ Agency/Position
Administrative Capability		
Planning Board	Yes	-
Mitigation Planning Committee	No	-
Environmental Board/Commission	No	-
Open Space Board/Committee	Yes	Village Greenway Committee
Economic Development Commission/Committee	No	-
Warning Systems / Services (reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	No	-
Maintenance programs to reduce risk	Yes	Department of Public Works
Mutual aid agreements	Yes	Agreements with Town of Lansing and Village of Cayuga Heights
Technical/Staff	ing Capability	, <u> </u>
Planners or engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices	No	-
Engineers or professionals trained in building or infrastructure construction practices	Yes	Part-time engineer
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	No	-
Staff with expertise or training in benefit/cost analysis	No	-
Professionals trained in conducting damage assessments	No	-
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS and/or Hazards United States (HAZUS) – Multi-Hazards (MH) applications	Yes	Village Code Enforcement Officer
Scientist familiar with natural hazards	No	-
NFIP Floodplain Administrator (FPA)	Yes	Village Code Enforcement Officer
Surveyor(s)	No	-
Emergency Manager	No	-
Grant writer(s)	No	-
Resilience Officer	No	-



#### 9.14.4.3 Fiscal Capability

The table below summarizes financial resources available to the Village of Lansing.

Table 9.14-6. Fiscal Capabilities

Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to Use (Yes/No)
Community development Block Grants (CDBG, CDBG-DR)	No
Capital improvements project funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	Yes
User fees for water, sewer, gas or electric service	Yes
Impact fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes	Yes
Stormwater utility fee	No
Incur debt through general obligation bonds	No
Incur debt through special tax bonds	No
Incur debt through private activity bonds	No
Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas	No
Other federal or state Funding Programs	Yes
Open Space Acquisition funding programs	Yes

#### 9.14.4.4 Education and Outreach Capability

The table below summarizes the education and outreach resources available to the Village of Lansing.

Table 9.14-7. Education and Outreach Capabilities

Indicate if your jurisdiction has the following resources	Yes/No; Please describe
Public information officer or communications office?	No
Personnel skilled or trained in website development?	Yes, trustee developed and maintains website
Hazard mitigation information available on your website; if yes, describe	No
Social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach; if yes, briefly describe.	No
Citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation; if yes, briefly describe.	No
Other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information; if yes, briefly describe.	Village listserv
Warning systems for hazard events; if yes, briefly describe.	No
Natural disaster/safety programs in place for schools; if yes, briefly describe.	No

#### 9.14.4.5 Community Classifications

The table below summarizes classifications for community programs available to the Village of Lansing.



Table 9.14-8. Community Classifications

Program	Participating? (Yes/No)	Classification (if applicable)	Date Classified (if applicable)
Community Rating System (CRS)	No	NA	NA
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)	No	NA	NA
Public Protection (ISO Fire Protection Classes 1 to 10)	No	NA	NA
NYSDEC Climate Smart Community	Yes	Member, early stage	NA
Storm Ready Certification	No	NA	NA
Firewise Communities classification	No	NA	NA

Note:

N/A Not applicable NP Not participating - Unavailable

#### 9.14.4.6 Adaptive Capacity

Adaptive capacity is defined as "the ability of systems, institutions, humans and other organisms to adjust to potential damage, to take advantage of opportunities, or respond to consequences" (IPCC 2014). In other words, it describes a jurisdiction's current ability to adjust to, protect from, or withstand a hazard event. This term is often discussed in reference to climate change; however, adaptive capacity also includes an understanding of local capacity for adapting to current and future risks and changing conditions. The table below summarizes the adaptive capacity for each hazard and the jurisdiction's rating.

Table 9.14-9. Adaptive Capacity

Hazard	Adaptive Capacity (Capabilities) - High/Medium/Low*	
Drought	Medium	
Extreme Temperature	Medium	
Flood	Medium	
Harmful Algal Bloom	Medium	
Invasive Species	Medium	
Severe Storm	Medium	
Severe Winter Storm	High	

\*High Capacity exists and is in use

Medium Capacity may exist; but is not used or could use some improvement

Low Capacity does not exist or could use substantial improvement

Unsure Not enough information is known to assign a rating

#### 9.14.4.7 National Flood Insurance Program

This section provides specific information on the management and regulation of the regulatory floodplain.

#### NFIP Floodplain Administrator (FPA)

Michael Scott, Code Enforcement Officer also serves as the FPA.



Table 9.14-10. Floodplain Administrator Questionnaire

NFIP Topic	Comments
Flood Vulnerability Summary	
Describe areas prone to flooding in your jurisdiction.	
Do you maintain a list of properties that have been	No
damaged by flooding?	
Do you maintain a list of property owners interested in	
flood mitigation?	N.
How many homeowners and/or business owners are	No
interested in mitigation (elevation or acquisition)?	
Are any RiskMAP projects currently underway in your	
jurisdiction?	Yes
If so, state what projects are underway.	
How do you make Substantial Damage determinations?	
How many were declared for recent flood events in your	-
jurisdiction?	
How many properties have been mitigated (elevation or	
acquisition) in your jurisdiction?	
If there are mitigation properties, how were the projects	0
funded?	
Do your flood hazard maps adequately address the flood	
risk within your jurisdiction?	Yes
If not, state why.	
Resources	
What local department is responsible for floodplain	
management?	COE
Are any certified floodplain managers on staff in your	
jurisdiction?	No
Do you have access to resources to determine possible	V
future flooding conditions from climate change?	Yes
Does your floodplain management staff need any	
assistance or training to support its floodplain	
management program?	No
If so, what type of assistance/training is needed?	
Provide an explanation of NFIP administration services you	
provide (e.g. permit review, GIS, education/outreach,	-
inspections, engineering capability)	
How do you determine if proposed development on an	
existing structure would qualify as a substantial	By definition identified in law.
improvement?	
What are the barriers to running an effective NFIP program	December 1
in the community, if any?	Record keeping
Compliance History	
Does your jurisdiction have any outstanding NFIP	
compliance violations that need to be addressed?	No
If so, state the violations.	
When was the most recent Community Assistance Visit	05 (40 (00
(CAV) or Community Assistance Contact (CAC)?	06/18/08
Regulatory	



NFIP Topic	Comments
What is the local law number or municipal code of your	
flood damage prevention ordinance?	_
What is the date that your flood damage prevention	
ordinance was last amended?	
Does your floodplain management program meet or	
exceed minimum requirements?	-
If exceeds, in what ways?	
Are there other local ordinances, plans or programs (e.g.	
site plan review) that support floodplain management and	
meeting the NFIP requirements? For instance, does the	Yes – local law for Conservation Combining District
planning board or zoning board consider efforts to reduce	g
flood risk when reviewing variances such as height	
restrictions?	
Community Rating System (CRS)	
Does your jurisdiction participate in CRS?	
If yes, is your jurisdiction interested in improving its CRS	
Classification?	No
If no, is your jurisdiction interested in joining the CRS	
program?	

#### 9.14.4.8 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Summary

The following table summarizes the NFIP statistics for the Village of Lansing.

Table 9.14-11. NFIP Summary

Municipality	# Policies	# Claims (Losses)	Total Loss Payments	# RL Properties	# SRL Properties
Village of Lansing	7	5	\$6,588.85	0	-

Source: FEMA 2020

RL

Notes: Policies, claims, repetitive loss, and severe repetitive loss statistics provided by FEMA Region 2, and current as of July 7, 2020. The total number of repetitive loss properties does not include severe repetitive loss properties. SRL property information was not included in the available data set.

Repetitive Loss; SRL Severe Repetitive Loss

#### 9.14.4.9 Additional Areas of Existing Integration

Regulations in the Village of Lansing currently integrate mitigation measures into its zoning code. Specifically, the Village has both a Conservation Combining District and a Flood Hazard Combing District which directs development away from waterways and natural features. These regulations have helped to shape several development projects over the last decade. Lessons learned from the use of these tools can be shared with other jurisdictions.

The Village of Lansing will look to further integrate its Comprehensive Plan and local policies with mitigation measures and also update Comprehensive Plan with the information gathered as a part of this mitigation plan update.



# 9.14.4.10 Evacuation, Sheltering, Temporary Housing, and Permanent Housing

Evacuation routes, sheltering measures, temporary housing, and permanent housing must all be in place and available for public awareness to protect residents, mitigate risk, and relocate residents, if necessary, to maintain post-disaster social and economic stability.

#### **Evacuation Routes**

The Village relies on the State Highways 13 and 34 for evacuation. Evacuation routes are specific to hazard event and routes will vary according to the location of the event. The Village will identify evacuation routes according to procedures outlined in the ESF16 annex of the Tompkins County 2021 CEMP.

#### Sheltering

The following is a currently established shelter in the Village of Lansing. In the event that sheltering is needed shelters will be determined at the time of an emergency, in accordance with the County CEMP.

Types of Other Shelter **ADA Backup** Medical Accommodates **Address Capacity Services** Name Pets? **Compliant?** Power? **Services Provided Provided** Ithaca & 50 Graham **Tompkins** Road West, 2000/450 County Ithaca, NY **YMCA** 

Table 9.14-12. Shelter Locations in the Municipality

#### **Temporary Housing**

The following are potential temporary housing locations in the Village.

Table 9.14-13. Temporary Housing Locations in the Municipality

Site Name	Site Address	Infrastructure / Utilities Available (water, electric, septic, etc.)	Capacity (number of sites)	Туре	Actions Required to Ensure Conformance with the NYS Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code
Econo Lodge	2303 N. Triphammer	Water, electric, sewer,	72 rooms	Hotel	Install sprinkler system
Homewood Suites	36 Cinema Drive	Water, electric, sewer	91 rooms	Hotel	-
Marriott Courtyard	29 Thornwood Drive	Water, electric, sewer	101 rooms	Hotel	-



Clarian Inn	2310 N.	Water, electric,	121 rooms	Hotal	
Clarion Inn	Triphammer	sewer	121 rooms	Hotel	-

The Village has not identified sites for the placement of temporary housing for residents displaced by a disaster or potential sites suitable for relocating structures out of the floodplain and/or building new homes once properties in the floodplain are acquired. In the event temporary housing is needed, the Village will work with the County to find suitable locations using the locations identified in Section 4 (County Profile) Table 4-9 as a starting point.

#### Permanent Housing

Locations for future locations for permanent housing in the Village are identified on the Village Zoning Map.

Table 9.14-14. Permanent Housing Locations in the Municipality

Site Name	Site Address	Infrastructure / Utilities Available (water, electric, septic, etc.)	Capacity (number of sites)	Туре	Actions Required to Ensure Conformance with the NYS Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code
	_	in the Village are noted ind analysis presented in		•	plan vacant land. In ized to identify potential

# 9.14.5 Hazard Event History Specific to the Village of Lansing

Tompkins County has a history of natural hazard events as detailed in Volume I, Section 5 (Risk Assessment) of this plan. A summary of historical events is provided in each of the hazard profiles and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities. The Village of Lansing's history of federally declared (as presented by FEMA) and significant hazard events (as presented in NOAA-NCEI) is consistent with that of Tompkins County. Table 9.14-15 provides details regarding municipal-specific loss and damages the Village experienced during hazard events. Information provided in the table below is based on reference material or local sources. For details of these and additional events, refer to Volume I, Section 5.0 of this plan.



Table 9.14-15. Hazard Event History

Dates of Event	Event Type (Disaster Declaration if applicable)	County Designated?	Summary of Event	Municipal Summary of Damages and Losses
August 3, 2014	Heavy Rain and Flash Flooding	-	Showers and thunderstorms in the area produced torrential downpours. In the County, several roadways were inundated causing approximately \$100,000 in property damage.	While this event impacted the community, due to lack of resources damage history has not been documented.
June 14- 15, 2015	Heavy Rain and Flash Flood	-	A tropical-like airmass was in place allowing for a stripe of 2-4 inches of very heavy rain to fall in a narrow band extending from near Watkins Glen to areas north of Binghamton. Severe flash flooding was encountered with numerous roads and culverts destroyed by raging water. In some areas, homes, schools and other businesses were flooded. In Tompkins County, flooding caused the washout of numerous bridges in the area. The County had approximately \$1.5 million in damages from this event.	While this event impacted the community, due to lack of resources damage history has not been documented.
March 14- 15, 2017	Severe Winter Storm and Snowstorm (DR-4322)	Yes	Snowfall ranged between 12 and 24 inches in Tompkins County with the highest amounts in the far southeast part of the county.	While this event impacted the community, due to lack of resources damage history has not been documented.
July 24, 2017	Heavy Rain and Flash Flooding	-	Widespread thunderstorms produced three to inches of rain. This led to streams and creeks overflowing their banks and flash flooding in many areas. The County had approximately \$75,000 in property damage.	While this event impacted the community, due to lack of resources damage history has not been documented.
October 31- November 1, 2019	Severe Storms, Straight-Line Winds and Flooding (DR-4472)	Yes	-	While this event impacted the community, due to lack of resources damage history has not been documented.

Notes:

EM Emergency Declaration (FEMA)

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency
DR Major Disaster Declaration (FEMA)

N/A Not applicable



# 9.14.6 Hazard Ranking and Jurisdiction-Specific Vulnerabilities

The hazard profiles in Section 5.0 (Risk Assessment) of this plan have detailed information regarding each plan participant's vulnerability to the identified hazards. The following summarizes the Village of Lansing's risk assessment results and data used to determine the hazard ranking.

#### 9.14.6.1 Critical Facilities

New York Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) Statute 6 CRR-NY 502.4 sets forth floodplain management criteria for State projects located in flood hazard areas. The law states that no such projects related to critical facilities shall be undertaken in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) unless constructed according to specific mitigation specifications, including being raised 2' above the Base Flood Elevation (BFE). This statute is outlined at <a href="http://tinyurl.com/6-CRR-NY-502-4">http://tinyurl.com/6-CRR-NY-502-4</a>. While all vulnerabilities should be assessed and documented, the State places a high priority on exposure to flooding. Critical facilities located in an SFHA, or having ever sustained previous flooding, must be protected to the 0.2-percent or 500-year flood event, or worst damage scenario. For those that do not meet these criteria, the jurisdiction must identify an action to achieve this level of protection (NYS DHSES 2017).

The table below identifies critical facilities in the community located in the 1-percent and 0.2-percent floodplain and presents Hazards United States (HAZUS) – Multi-Hazards (MH) estimates of the damage and loss of use to critical facilities as a result of a 1-percent annual chance flood event.

There are no Village critical facilities located in flood prone areas.

#### 9.14.6.2 Hazard Ranking

This section provides the community specific identification of the primary hazard concerns based on identified problems, impacts and the results of the risk assessment as presented in Section 5 (Risk Assessment) of the plan. The ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property, and the economy as well as community capability and changing future climate conditions. This input supports the mitigation action development to target those hazards with highest level of concern.

As discussed in Section 5.3 (Hazard Ranking), each participating jurisdiction may have differing degrees of risk exposure and vulnerability compared to Tompkins County as a whole. Therefore, the Village of Lansing ranked the degree of risk to each hazard as it pertains to their community. The table below summarizes the hazard risk/vulnerability rankings of potential natural hazards for the Village of Lansing. The Village of Lansing has reviewed the Village hazard risk/vulnerability risk ranking table as well as its individual results to reflect the relative risk of the hazards of concern to the community.



During the review of the hazard/vulnerability risk ranking, the Village of Lansing indicated the following:

- Flood and severe storm remain as the greatest concern and outside assistance is needed to help guide appropriate actions for reducing risk.
- The Village concurs with the hazard rankings identified in the broader plan.

Table 9.14-16. Hazard Ranking Input

Hazard	Ranking
Disease Outbreak	Medium
Drought	Medium
Extreme Temperature	Medium
Flood	Medium
Harmful Algal Bloom	Medium
Invasive Species	Medium
Severe Storm	High
Severe Winter Storm	Medium

Note: The scale is based on the following hazard rankings as established in Section 5.3.

#### 9.14.6.3 Identified Issues

The Village of Lansing has identified the following vulnerabilities within their community:

 Village has large concentrations of vulnerable populations of elderly and disabled residents and Village lacks the plan to assist this group in time of emergency.

# 9.14.7 Mitigation Strategy and Prioritization

This section discusses past mitigations actions and status, describes proposed hazard mitigation initiatives, and their prioritization.

#### 9.14.7.1 Past Mitigation Initiative Status

The following table indicates progress on the community's mitigation strategy identified in the 2014 Plan. Actions that are carried forward as part of this plan update are included in the following subsection in its own table with prioritization. Previous actions that are now on-going programs and capabilities are indicated as such in the following table and may also be found under 'Capability Assessment' presented previously in this annex.



Table 9.14-17. Status of Previous Mitigation Actions

Project #	Project Name	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party	Brief Summary of the Original Problem and the Solution (Project)	Status (In Progress, Ongoing, No Progress, Complete)	Evaluation of Success (if complete)	Next Steps 1) Project to be included in 2021 HMP or Discontinue 2) If including action in the 2021 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3) If discontinue, explain why.
VL1		Multi-Hazard	Village of Lansing	Inventory business park and hazard risks, and implement retrofits as appropriate	No Progress	Cost - Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	Consider adding as new action.
VL2		Lake Flood, Flash Flood	Bolton Point	Evaluate the intake area/building for Bolton Point since that is located in the flood area for the Village of Lansing and determine the impact if flooded	In Progress	Cost - Level of Protection  Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	Discuss with Bolton Point and consider adding as new plan action.
VL3		Landslide, Water Contamination	Bolton Point	Assess the main raw water intake line for Bolton Point to determine if there needs to be additional measures implemented in the event that the current trunk line fails	In Progress	Cost - Level of - Protection  Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	Discuss with Bolton Point and consider adding as new plan action.



# 9.14.7.2 Completed Mitigation Initiatives Not Identified in the Previous Mitigation Strategy

The Village of Lansing has identified the following mitigation projects/activities that have also been completed but were not identified in the previous mitigation strategy in the 2014 Plan:

 A series of redundancy upgrades have been made to Bolton Point (water purveyor in village which supplies multiple municipalities in the County).

# 9.14.7.3 Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives for the Plan Update

The Village of Lansing participated in a mitigation action workshop in October 2020 and was provided the following FEMA publications to use as a resource as part of their comprehensive review of all possible activities and mitigation measures to address their hazards: e.g., FEMA 551 'Selecting Appropriate Mitigation Measures for Floodprone Structures' (March 2007) and FEMA 'Mitigation Ideas – A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards' (January 2013).

Table 9.14-18 summarizes the comprehensive range of specific mitigation initiatives the Village of Lansing would like to pursue in the future to reduce the effects of hazards. Some of these initiatives may be previous actions carried forward for this plan update. These initiatives are dependent upon available funding (grants and local match availability) and may be modified or omitted at any time based on the occurrence of new hazard events and changes in municipal priorities. Both the four FEMA mitigation action categories and the six CRS mitigation action categories are listed in the table below to further demonstrate the wide range of activities and mitigation measures selected.

As discussed in Section 6, 14 evaluation/prioritization criteria are used to complete the prioritization of mitigation initiatives. For each new mitigation action, a numeric rank is assigned (-1, 0, or 1) for each of the 14 evaluation criteria to assist with prioritizing your actions as 'High', 'Medium', or 'Low.' The table below summarizes the evaluation of each mitigation initiative, listed by Action Number.

**Error! Reference source not found.** provides a summary of the prioritization of all proposed mitigation initiatives for the Plan update.



Table 9.14-18. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Project Number	Project Name	Goals Met	Hazard(s) to be Mitigated	Description of Problem and Solution	Critical Facility (Yes/No)	EHP Issues	Estimated Timeline	Lead Agency	Estimated Costs	Estimated Benefits	Potential Funding Sources	Priority	Mitigation	CRS Category
2021 – V LANSING – 001	Flash Flood Mitigation	1,3,4	Flood	Problem: The Village experiences increasing, intermittent flash flood events and subsequent property and infrastructure damages. This leads to road closures, damages, and emergency rescues.  Solution: The Village DPW staff will survey and identify Village trees that pose a threat to the power and communication infrastructure. The municipality will develop a tree maintenance program that will include routine inspections of trees located in the municipal right-of-way. During the inspection, the municipality will identify trees that are in need of trimming or removal. Once identified, a schedule of maintenance and/or removal will be developed, and the municipality will begin work. This will help reduce tree damage, road closures, utility outages, and reduce/eliminate damage to structures and infrastructure.	No	No	5 Years	Village Board of Trustees Village Engineering Village DPW	\$100,000	Unknown	FEMA BRIC, HMGP, DEC WQIP	High	SIP	PP
2021 – V LANSING - 002	Tree Threat Reduction Program	3,4	Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm	Problem: The Village experiences intermittent severe storm and winter storm events that threaten the Village's power and communication infrastructure  Solution: The Village DPW staff will survey and identify Village trees that pose a threat to the	No	No	2 Years	Village Board of Trustees Village Dept. of Public Works	\$50,000	Unknown	DEC Tree Inventory, FEMA HMGP	High	NSP	PP, NR



Table 9.14-18. Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Project Number	Project Name	Goals Met	Hazard(s) to be Mitigated	Description of Problem and Solution power and communication	Critical Facility (Yes/No)	EHP Issues	Estimated Timeline	Lead Agency	Estimated Costs	Estimated Benefits	Potential Funding Sources	Priority	Mitigation	CRS Category
2021 – V LANSING - 003	Emergency Lifelines and Mobility Plan	4,5	All Hazards	infrastructure  Problem: The Village has large concentrations of vulnerable populations of elderly and disabled residents. Should catastrophic emergency occur all residents, including the elderly and disabled residents, would need emergency routes for support and mobility  Solution: The Village government would compile a committee of local residents and staff to identify the local, county, state, and federal requirements for emergency routes, shelters, food, water, clothing and emergency care. A Village response plan would be created, vetted, and authorized for implementation and communication to the Village businesses and residents.	No	No	3 Years	Village Board of Trustees Village Dept. of Public Works	\$25,000	Unknown	FEMA BRIC, Local Resources, Social Service Program Funding	High	LPR	ES

#### Notes:

Not all acronyms and abbreviations defined below are included in the table.

Acrony	ms and Abbreviations:	Potenti	al FEMA HMA Funding Sources:	Timeline:
CAV	Community Assistance Visit	FMA	Flood Mitigation Assistance Grant Program	The time required for completion of the project upon
CRS	Community Rating System	HMGP	Hazard Mitigation Grant Program	implementation
DPW	Department of Public Works	PDM	Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program	
EHP	Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation			Cost:



FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	BRIC	Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities	The estimated cost for implementation.
FPA	Floodplain Administrator		Program	
HMA	Hazard Mitigation Assistance			Benefits:
N/A	Not applicable			
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program			A description of the estimated benefits, either quantitative
OEM	Office of Emergency Management			and/or qualitative.

#### Critical Facility:

Yes 

◆ Critical Facility located in 1% floodplain

#### Mitigation Category:

- Local Plans and Regulations (LPR) These actions include government authorities, policies or codes that influence the way land and buildings are being developed and built.
- Structure and Infrastructure Project (SIP) These actions involve modifying existing structures and infrastructure to protect them from a hazard or remove them from a hazard area. This could apply to public or private structures as well as critical facilities and infrastructure. This type of action also involves projects to construct manmade structures to reduce the impact of hazards.
- Natural Systems Protection (NSP) These are actions that minimize damage and losses, and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.
- Education and Awareness Programs (EAP) These are actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. These actions may also include participation in national programs, such as StormReady and Firewise Communities

#### CRS Category:

- Preventative Measures (PR) Government, administrative or regulatory actions, or processes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built. Examples include planning and zoning, floodplain local laws, capital improvement programs, open space preservation, and storm water management regulations.
- Property Protection (PP) These actions include public activities to reduce hazard losses or actions that involve (1) modification of existing buildings or structures to protect them from a hazard or (2) removal of the structures from the hazard area. Examples include acquisition, elevation, relocation, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and shatter-resistant alass.
- Public Information (PI) Actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. Such actions include outreach projects, real estate disclosure, hazard information centers, and educational programs for school-age children and adults.
- Natural Resource Protection (NR) Actions that minimize hazard loss and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. These actions include sediment and erosion control, stream corridor restoration, watershed management, forest and vegetation management, and wetland restoration and preservation.
- Structural Flood Control Projects (SP) Actions that involve the construction of structures to reduce the impact of a hazard. Such structures include dams, setback levees, floodwalls, retaining walls, and safe rooms.
- Emergency Services (ES) Actions that protect people and property during and immediately following a disaster or hazard event. Services include warning systems, emergency response services, and the protection of essential facilities



Table 9.14-19. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Project Number	Project Name	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost-Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community Objectives	Total	High / Medium / Low
2021 – V LANSING – 001	Flash Flood Mitigation	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	12	High
2021 – V LANSING – 002	Tree Threat Reduction Program	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1	-1	1	1	1	1	11	High
2021 – V LANSING - 003	Emergency Lifelines and Mobility Plan	1	0	1	1	1	1	-1	0	0	-1	1	1	1	1	9	High

Note: Refer to Section 6, which conveys guidance on prioritizing mitigation actions. Low (0-4), Medium (5-8), High (9-14).



# 9.14.8 Proposed Mitigation Action Types

The table below indicates the range of proposed mitigation action categories.

Table 9.14-20. Analysis of Mitigation Actions by Hazard and Category

		FE	MA					CRS		
Hazard	LPR	SIP	NSP	EAP	PR	PP	PI	NR	SP	ES
Disease	003								_	003
Outbreak										
Drought	003									003
Extreme	003									003
Temperature										
Harmful	003									003
Algal Bloom										
Invasive	003									003
Species										
Flood	003	001				001				003
Severe	003		002			002		002		003
Storm						002				
Severe	003		002					002		003
Winter						002				
Storm										

Note: Section 6 (Mitigation Strategy) provides for an explanation of the mitigation categories.

# 9.14.9 Staff and Local Stakeholder Involvement in Annex Development

The Village of Lansing followed the planning process described in Section 3 (Planning Process) in Volume I of this plan update. This annex was developed over the course of several months with input from many Village departments, including: The Village Trustees and Code Enforcement. Don Hartill, Ronny Hardaway, and Michael Scott represented the community on the Village of Lansing Hazard Mitigation Plan Planning Partnership and supported the local planning process requirements by securing input from persons with specific knowledge to enhance the plan. All departments were asked to contribute to the annex development through reviewing and contributing to the capability assessment, reporting on the status of previously identified actions, and participating in action identification and prioritization.

Additional documentation on the Village of Lansing's planning process through Planning Partnership meetings is included in Section 3 (Planning Process) and Appendix C (Meetings).



### 9.14.10 Hazard Area Extent and Location

A hazard area extent and location map has been generated for the Village of Lansing that illustrates the probable areas impacted within the Village of Lansing. This map is based on the best available data at the time of the preparation of this plan and is considered to be adequate for planning purposes. The map has only been generated for those hazards that can be clearly identified using mapping techniques and technologies, and for which the Village of Lansing has significant exposure. The map is provided on the next page.



Lansing BURDICK HILL RD 0 OWNERST RD WORTHWOOD RD 血 H THORNWOOD DR Village Town of of Cayuga 63 Ithaca Heights Village of Lansing Flood Hazard Area — State Route Library Library Communication 1-Percent Annual - County Route Medical Care Dam Chance Flood Event - Rail Line Miscellaneous Utility 0.2-Percent Annual Ohance Flood Event \* Dw Care Jurisdiction D Waterbody Department of Public Works Municipal Hall The flood hazard depicted is a digital Q3 from the 1970/1980s. This data does not replace the effective FRIM and should be considered approximate. New Development 0 Natural Gas Recent . Education - Higher NFIP Repetitive Anticipated Education - Primary Police Station Loss Property The map and data departed are considered approximate and enhalts for more planning Wildfire g Electric Power Facility Post Office proposes. Mapped hazard areas, geographic boundains and other formes departed may nor absolutely align that in the differing resolution of available data. Interface 0 Fire Station Potable Water Intermix MIP Government Services Wastewater Tt Data Sameron TCG/IS - 2026; NVS G/IS - 2020; NVS DOT - 2013; ISSE - 2012; 2023; FTALA - 1970; Win LW increase - 2010

Figure 9.14-1. Village of Lansing Hazard Area Extent and Location Map



	Action W	orksheet/								
Project Name:	Flash Flood Mitigation									
Project Number:	2021- V LANSING - 001									
	Risk / Vu	nerability								
Hazard(s) of Concern:	Flash flooding									
Description of the Problem:	The Village experiences increasing, intermittent flash flood events and subsequent property and infrastructure damages. This leads to road closures, damages, and emergency rescues. The Village's topography drains flash-flood water from the East westward to Cayuga Lake. With new development projects within and around the Village, impervious surfaces have increased; thereby, worsening the flash-flood-related damages and dangers.									
	Action or Project Inten	-								
The Village DPW staff will survey and identify Village trees that pose a threat to the power and communication infrastructure. The municipality will develop a tree maintenance program that will include routine inspections of trees located in the municipal right-of-way. During the inspection, the municipality will identify trees that are in need of trimming or removal. Once identified, a schedule of maintenance and/or removal will be developed, and the municipality will begin work. This will help reduce tree damage, road closures, utility outages, and reduce/eliminate damage to structures and infrastructure.										
Is this project re	elated to a Critical Facility?		Yes	No	X					
Is the critical facility loca	ated in the 1% annual chanc area?	e flood	Yes	No						
(If yes, this project must i	ntend to protect the 500-year		t or the ac	tual wo	orse case damage scenario,					
Level of Protection:	- wnicnever	is greater)  Estimated (losses av			Reduction in road closures and emergency rescues.					
Useful Life:	-	Goals Me	t:		1					
Estimated Cost:	\$100,000.00	Mitigatio	n Action	Гуре:	SIP					
	Plan for Imp	lementatio	n							
Prioritization:	High	Desired T Implemer		for	One Year					
Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation:	One Year	Potential Sources:	Funding		FEMA BRIC, HMGP, DEC WQIP, Village Operating Budget					
Responsible Organization:	Village Board of Trustees Village Engineering Village DPW	Local Plar Mechanis in Implem any:	ms to be nentation	if	Hazard Mitigation Plan, Supports Greenway Plan, CSC, Cayuga Lake Watershed					
	Three Alternatives Consideration	ered (includ	ding No A	(ction						
	Action	Estimate	ed Cost		Evaluation					
	No Action	\$0	)	C	urrent problem continues					
Alternatives:	Critical failures only \$50,000.00 Non-critical failures continue									
	Engineered Study evaluating investments	\$100,	.000	R	educed flooding concerns					
	Progress Report (fo	r plan mair	ntenance)							
Date of Status Report:										
Report of Progress:										



Update Evaluation of the
•
roblem and/or
Solution:
Solution:



	Actio	on Worksheet
Project Name:	Flash Flood Mitigation	
Project Number:	2021- V LANSING - 001	
Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	1	Will increase safety of residents and DPW crew
Property Protection	1	Potential for significant property and infrastructure damage reduction
Cost-Effectiveness	1	Long-term benefits will outweigh short-term costs
Technical	1	Project is technically feasible
Political	1	Businesses and residents have requested and support this action
Legal	1	Village has legal authority to enact the project
Fiscal	-1	Additional budgeting might be necessary
Environmental	1	Impact to local and downstream environment could be major
Social	1	Project might disrupt businesses and neighborhoods
Administrative	-1	Staff will need contracted work to study and implement
Multi-Hazard	1	Flood damage can escalate and expand to other risks
Timeline	1	Project can be completed in less than 5 years
Agency Champion	1	Village boards and committees are champions
Other Community Objectives	1	Supports Greenway Plan, CSC, Cayuga Lake Watershed
Total	13	
Priority (High/Med/Low)	High	



	Action W	orksheet/									
Project Name:	Tree Threat Reduction Prog	ram									
Project Number:	2021- V LANSING - 002										
	Risk / Vu	Risk / Vulnerability									
Hazard(s) of Concern:		evere Storm, Severe Winter Storm									
Description of the	The Village experiences inte threaten the Village's power high-voltage power lines, m	and comm	unication inf ground powe	rastru er and	cture. There are several I communication lines						
Problem:	threaten these power and co bursts, or during severe, wir	unning through the Village. There are mature trees throughout the Village that could breaten these power and communication lines in severe storm high winds or wind cursts, or during severe, winter storm snow and ice events.									
	Action or Project Inten	ded for Imp	olementatio	n							
Description of the Solution:	The Village DPW staff will suppower and communication DPW crew, supplemented bund/or trees that threaten the	infrastructur y tree maint	re. Once the tenance cont	trees racto	have been identified, the rs, will remove the limbs						
Is this project re	elated to a Critical Facility?	,	Yes	No	×						
	ated in the 1% annual chanc area?	e flood	Yes	No							
(If yes, this project must i	ntend to protect the 500-year whichever	flood even	t or the actua	al wor	se case damage scenario,						
Level of Protection:	-	Estimated (losses av		Reduced interruption of power, reduced road closures, increased continuity of operations.							
Useful Life:	1	Goals Me	t:		1,4						
Estimated Cost:	\$50,000.00	Mitigation	n Action Typ	oe:	NSP						
	Plan for Imp	olementatio	n								
Prioritization:	High	Desired T Implemer	imeframe fontation:	or	One Year						
Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation:	One Year	Potential Sources:	Funding		Operating Budget, DEC Tree Inventory, FEMA HMGP						
Responsible Organization:	Village Board of Trustees Village Dept. of Public Works		nning ms to be Us nentation if	ed	Hazard Mitigation Plan						
	Three Alternatives Consider	ered (includ	ding No Act	ion)							
	Action	Estir	nated Cost		Evaluation						
	No Action		\$0		Current threats continue						
Alternatives:	Partial tree/limb removal	\$2	25,000.00		Non-critical threats continue						
	Tree planning and maintenance	9	\$50,000		Removal of critical threats						
	Progress Report (fo	r plan mair	ntenance)								
Date of Status Report:											
Report of Progress:											
Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution:											



Action Worksheet					
Project Name:	Tree Threat Reduction Program				
Project Number:	2021- V LANSING - 002				
Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate			
Life Safety	1	Potential for DPW staff and residents' lives to be endangered			
<b>Property Protection</b>	1	Reduction of significant property and infrastructure damage			
Cost-Effectiveness	1	Proactive costs greatly reduce reactive costs			
Technical	1	Project is technically feasible			
Political	1	Businesses and residents have requested and support this action			
Legal	1	Village has legal authority to enact the project			
Fiscal	-1	Additional budgeting might be necessary			
Environmental	0				
Social	1	Project might disrupt businesses and neighborhoods			
Administrative	-1	Staff will need contracted work to implement tree/limb removal			
Multi-Hazard	1	Sever Storm Hazard and Winter Storm Hazard			
Timeline	1	Project can be completed in less than 5 years			
Agency Champion	1	Village boards and committees are champions			
Other Community Objectives	1	Supports Greenway Plan and CSC program			
Total	12				
Priority (High/Med/Low)	High				



Action Worksheet						
Project Name:	Emergency Lifelines and Mobility Plan					
Project Number:	2021- V LANSING - 003					
	Risk / Vu	Inerability				
Hazard(s) of Concern:	All Hazards					
Description of the Problem:	The Village has large concentrations of vulnerable populations of elderly and disabled residents. Should catastrophic emergency occur all residents, including the elderly and disabled residents, would need emergency routes for support and mobility. The residents would also need ADA-accessible shelters along with food, water, clothing, and emergency care.					
	Action or Project Intended for Implementation					
Description of the Solution:	The Village government would compile a committee of local residents and staff to identify the local, county, state, and federal requirements for emergency routes, shelters, food, water, clothing and emergency care. A Village response plan would be created, vetted, and authorized for implementation and communication to the Village businesses and residents.					
Is this project re	elated to a Critical Facility?		Yes 🗌	No	×	
Is the critical facility loca	ated in the 1% annual chand area?	e flood	Yes 🗌	No		
(If yes, this project must i	(If yes, this project must intend to protect the 500-year flood event or the actual worse case damage scenario,					
whichever is greater)						
Level of Protection:	-	Estimated Benefits (losses avoided):		-		
Useful Life:	-	Goals Me			4,5	
Estimated Cost:	\$25,000.00		n Action Ty	pe:	LPR	
	Plan for Imp					
Prioritization:	High	Desired Timeframe for Implementation:		-		
Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation:	-	Potential Funding Sources:			FEMA BRIC, Local Resources	
Responsible Organization:	Village Board of Trustees Village Dept. of Public Works	Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation if any:				
Three Alternatives Considered (including No Action)						
	Action	Estimate	d Cost		Evaluation	
Alternatives:	No Action	No Action \$0		Failure to adequately a emergency access and		
	Emergency routes identified	\$5,000.00		Failure to adequately address emergency needs		
	Emergency resources established	\$20,000 Failu		Failu	re to adequately address emergency mobility	
	Progress Report (fo	r plan mair	ntenance)			
Date of Status Report:						
Report of Progress:						
Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution:						



Action Worksheet					
Project Name:	Emergency Lifelines and Mobility Plan				
Project Number:	2021- V LANSING - 003				
Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate			
Life Safety	1	Increased effectiveness of safety and survival			
<b>Property Protection</b>	0	-			
Cost-Effectiveness	1	Safety and survival of residents will outweigh project costs			
Technical	1	Project is technically feasible			
Political	1	Businesses and residents have requested and support this action			
Legal	1	Village has legal authority to enact the project			
Fiscal	-1	Additional budgeting might be necessary			
Environmental	0	-			
Social	0	-			
Administrative	-1	Outside administrative support might be needed			
Multi-Hazard	1	Applies to many hazards			
Timeline	1	Project can be completed in less than 5 years			
Agency Champion	1	Village boards and committees are champions			
Other Community Objectives	1	Supports Tompkins County Hazard Mitigation objectives			
Total	10				
Priority (High/Med/Low)	High				

